·	* FILED				
1 2 3 4 5 6	KAUFMAN DOLOWICH & VOLUCK LLP DEAN B. HERMAN (SBN 76752) HEE YOUNG LEE (SBN 207295) BRENT A. KRAMER (SBN 256243) 11755 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Telephone: 310-775-6511 Facsimile: 310-575-9720 Attorneys for Plaintiff MARYLAND CASUALTY COMPANY				
8					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION				
11	MARYLAND CASUALTY COMPANY, Case No.				
12	Plaintiff, CV 13-7847 PSWL (Sx)				
13	vs.				
14	}				
15	REESE WITHERSPOON, an individual; COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY MARKETING ADVANTAGES INTERNATIONAL, INC. d/b/a				
16	Emitations.com, and DOES 1-10%, inclusive,				
17	Defendants.				
18	}				
19					
20	Plaintiff MARYLAND CASUALTY COMPANY ("MARYLAND") alleges as follows.				
21	I. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>				
22	1. Jurisdiction of this action is founded upon 28 U.S.C. § 1332, as the parties are				
23	citizens of different states, and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000.00,				
24	exclusive of interest and costs.				
25	2. Venue is proper in the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §				
26	1391(b)(1) because Reese Witherspoon ("Ms. Witherspoon") resides in the Central District of				
27	California, and all defendants are residents of the State of California. Alternatively, venue is				
28	proper in the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), in that alleged				
	1 COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF				

of California, County of Los Angeles. Ms. Witherspoon filed a lawsuit in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, styled as Witherspoon v. Marketing Advantages International, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. SC 120883 (the "Witherspoon Action").

liability and injuries that give rise to this declaratory relief coverage action took place in the State

#### II. PARTIES

- 3. MARYLAND is a Maryland corporation, with its principal place of business in Illinois. MARYLAND is an admitted property-casualty insurer under the laws of the State of California and transacts business in, among other California counties, Los Angeles County.
- 4. MARYLAND is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that defendant REESE WITHERSPOON is an individual, who resides in the State of California, and owns a residence in and does business in the State of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 5. MARYLAND is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that defendant MARKETING ADVANTAGES INTERNATIONAL, INC. d/b/a Emitations.com is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a California Corporation, doing business in the State of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 6. MARYLAND is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the fictitiously-named Defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 100, and each of them, are parties who are or may be interested in the relief sought by MARYLAND, but whose true identity and capacity are presently unknown to MARYLAND, and MARYLAND will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to assert true names and capacities of such fictitiously-named Defendants when the same have been ascertained. For convenience, each reference to a named Defendant herein shall also refer to Does 1 through 100. All defendants, including both the named defendants and those referred to as Does 1 through 100, are sometimes collectively referred to herein as "Defendants."

### III. PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS

7. MARYLAND issued Policy No. PAS 42871047 to MARKETING
ADVANTAGES INTERNATIONAL, INC. d/b/a Emitations.com ("Marketing Advantages") as
the named insured, for the period December 22, 2011, to December 22, 2012 (the "policy" or

1 "policies"). The MARYLAND policy issued to Marketing Advantages has an insuring 2 8. agreement, in Coverage B.1. Personal and Advertising Injury liability coverage part, Form No. 3 9S2001 Ed. 4-99, page 6 of 17, which provides, in part: 4 5 I. Insuring Agreement 6 We will pay those sums that the insured becomes legally a. obligated to pay as damages because of "personal and 7 advertising injury" to which this insurance applies. We 8 will have the right and duty to defend the insured against any "suit" seeking those damages. However, we will have 9 no duty to defend the insured against any "suit" seeking damages for "personal and advertising injury" to which this 10 insurance does not apply. 11 The Electronic Data Liability Amendment Endorsement adds the following exclusion: 12 13 2. Exclusions 14 This insurance does not apply to 15 "Personal and advertising injury" a. 16 17 (12)Arising out of the infringement of copyright, patent, trademark, trade secret or other intellectual property 18 rights: 19 However, this exclusion does not apply to 20 infringement, in your "advertisement", of copyright, trade dress or slogan; or 21 22 (13)Arising out of the unauthorized use of another's name or product in your e-mail address, domain 23 name, or metatag, or any other similar tactics to mislead another's potential customers. 24 The Coverage B. Personal and Advertising Injury Liability contains the following 25 additional exclusions: 26 Exclusions 27 This insurance does not apply to 28 COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

4

12

13

11

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

22

23

21

24 25

27 28

26

- "Personal and advertising injury" a.
  - (3) Arising out of oral or written publication of material whose first publication took place before the beginning of the policy period.
- 9. On or about June 20, 2013, Marketing Advantages was named as a defendant in the Witherspoon Action. The current operative complaint in the Witherspoon Action is the first amended complaint filed on or about July 15, 2013. The Witherspoon Action alleges claims for violation of common law right of publicity against all the defendants she named.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Declaratory Relief Re: Duty to Indemnify) (Against All Defendants)

- MARYLAND realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of 10. paragraphs 1 through 9, above, into its First Claim for Relief as though fully set forth at length.
- 11. MARYLAND is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the disputes in the Witherspoon Action involve questions of whether Ms. Witherspoon is entitled to common law publicity protection related to the use of her name, photograph, image, identity and persona, and if so, what if any remedies Ms. Witherspoon may have against Defendants on account of sales of products using Ms. Witherspoon's publicity status.
- 12. MARYLAND is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the disputes in the Witherspoon Action involve questions of whether Ms. Witherspoon is entitled to common law privacy protection related to the use of her image, identity and persona, and if so, what if any remedies Ms. Witherspoon may have against Defendants on account of sales of products purportedly violating Ms. Witherspoon's privacy.
- 13. MARYLAND is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the disputes in the Witherspoon Action involve questions of whether Ms. Witherspoon is entitled to common law trademark/trade name protection over her name and image, and if so, what if any remedies Ms. Witherspoon may have against Defendants on account of sales of products purportedly violating Ms. Witherspoon's trademark/trade name.
- 14. MARYLAND is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the disputes in the Witherspoon Action involve questions of whether Ms. Witherspoon is entitled to

common law trade dress protection over her name, image, and identity, and if so, what if any remedies Ms. Witherspoon may have against Defendants on account of sales of products purportedly violating Ms. Witherspoon's trade dress.

- 15. MARYLAND is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the disputes in the *Witherspoon* Action involve questions of whether Ms. Witherspoon is entitled to common law trade slogan protection over the name "Reese Witherspoon", and if so, what if any remedies Ms. Witherspoon may have against Defendants on account of sales of products purportedly violating Ms. Witherspoon's common law slogan.
- 16. Any alleged commercial misappropriation by Defendants of Ms. Witherspoon related to Defendants' products, regardless of the specific claim label, or legal theory upon which relief may be awarded, is barred by the Intellectual Property Exclusion in the policies MARYLAND issued to Marketing Advantages.
- 17. MARYLAND is informed and believes that Defendants dispute MARYLAND's contentions as respects the applicability of the Intellectual Property Exclusion to bar coverage. A real, existing and justiciable controversy exists among the parties with respect thereto. This Court's judicial declaration is necessary so that the parties may ascertain their rights.

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Declaratory Relief re Duty to Defend) (Against Defendant Marketing Advantages, Does 1 through 100)

- 18. MARYLAND realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 17, above, into its Second Claim for Relief as though fully set forth at length.
- 19. There presently exists a controversy within the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure section 1060 between MARYLAND on the one hand, and defendants Marketing Advantages and Does 1 through 100 on the other hand.
- 20. MARYLAND is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Marketing Advantages and Does 1 through 100 contend that MARYLAND has a duty to defend them in the Witherspoon Action under the Policy.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

### 

# UNITED ST S DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF C FORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

r (-1 p) bibirteec ( cl-			DETENDANTS	(Check how if you are so	proporting varyeralf [ ]		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ( Che		esenting yoursell []	į	<b>DEFENDANTS</b> (Check box if you are representing yourself)  Reese Witherspoon, an Individual; Marketing Advantages International, Inc. d/b/a			
Maryland Casualty Company	y, a corporation			ı, ən individual; Marketing Adva d DOES 1-101 inclusive	intages International, Inc. d/b/a		
	Entratoris.com, and Dota 1-10. Inclusive						
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name			(b) Attorneys (Fire	m Name, Address and Telep	hone Number. If you		
are representing yourself, Dean B. Herman (76752) He			Currently Unknown	ourself, provide same infor o	madon. <i>j</i>		
Kaufman Dolowich & Voluci		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11755 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2	400						
Los Angeles, CA 90025			II CITIZENCIUD OF DI	DINCIDAL DADTICE C. C	N		
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	TION (Place an X in c	ne box only.)	(Place an X in one bo	RINCIPAL PARTIES For Dox for plaintiff and one for d	efendant)		
1. U.S. Government	3. Federal Q	uestion (U.S.	P آ Litizen of This State	'TF DEF 기 1 회 1 Incorporated o	r Principal Place 7TF DEF		
Plaintiff	Governmen	t Not a Party)		of Business in ti	nis State		
		C	litizen of Another State	2 2 2 Incorporated at of Business in A	nd Principal Place X 5 5 5		
2. U.S. Government			itizen or Subject of a	3 Soreign Nation	∏ 6 ∏ <b>6</b>		
Defendant	of Parties in	Item III)	oréigh Country	_ + + (4.00_0			
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X	in one box only.)	<u></u>			\$ #   Let		
i <b>y</b> i - I I	Removed from	3. Remanded from		ransferred from Another	Multi- District		
Proceeding :	State Court -	Appellate Court	Reopened L D	Vistrict (Specify)	tigation		
V. REQUESTED IN COM	ADIAINT. HIDV DE	MAND. Voc V	No (Check "Yes" o	only if demanded in com	nlaint )		
·			<u></u>				
CLASS ACTION under		Yes 🔀 No		ANDED IN COMPLAINT:			
			ng and write a brief stateme	ent of cause. Do not cite jurisdi	ctional statutes unless diversity.)		
28	U.S.C. 8	1332					
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (	~						
			idinkannesizeren sanazeas aranak	at Balling-pulsary partial property to purpose materials (2007) (5).			
OTHERSTATUTES	and a let little striple but the little striple stripl	REAUPROPERTY CONT.			PROPERTY RIGHTS		
375 False Claims Act	≥ 110 insurance	240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product	462 Naturalization Application	Habeas Corpus:	820 Copyrights		
400 State Reapportionment	120 Marine	Liability	465 Other	463 Alien DetaInee 510 Motions to Vacate	830 Patent		
410 Antitrust	130 Miller Act	290 All Other Real Property	Immigration Actions		840 Trademark		
430 Banks and Banking	140 Negotiable	TORTS	TORTS PERSONAL PROPERTY	530 General 535 Death Penalty	SOCIAL SECURITY		
450 Commerce/ICC Rates/Etc.	150 Recovery of	PERSONAL PROPERTY	370 Other Fraud	Other	862 Black Lung (923)		
460 Deportation	Overpayment & Enforcement of	310 Airplane	371 Truth in Lending	540 Mandamus/Other	863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g))		
470 Racketeer Influ-	Judgment	315 Airplane Product Liability	380 Other Personal	550 Civil Rights	864 SSID Title XVI		
enced & Corrupt Org.	151 Medicare Act	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	IJ Property Damage	555 Prison Condition	865 RSI (405 (g))		
480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student	330 Fed. Employers'	385 Property Damage		FEDERALTAX SUITS		
490 Cable/Sat TV	Loan (Excl. Vet.)	Liability	BANKRUPTCY	Confinement	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or		
850 Securitles/Commodities/Exchange	153 Recovery of	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	- 422 Appeal 28	FORFEITURE/PENALITY	☐ Defendant)		
890 Other Statutory	Overpayment of Vet. Benefits	Liability	USC 158  423 Withdrawal 28	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21	871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC		
Actions	160 Stockholders'	350 Motor Vehicle	USC 157	USC 881			
891 Agricultural Acts	☐ Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	CIVILRIGHTS				
893 Environmental Matters	190 Other Contract	360 Other Personal		s LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards			
895 Freedom of Info.	195 Contract	└─ Injury ├─ 362 Personal Injury-	441 Voting	Act Act			
☐ Act ☐ cas a trace ☐ cas a	Product Liability	☐ Med Malpratice	442 Employment 443 Housing/	720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations			
896 Arbitration	196 Franchise	365 Personal Injury- Product Liability	Accomodations	740 Railway Labor Act			
899 Admin. Procedures	REALPROPERTY	367 Health Care/	445 American with Disabilities-	751 Family and Medical			
Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision	210 Land Condemnation	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury	Employment	Leave Act			
norm or or or the e	220 Foreclosure	Product Liability	446 American with Disabilities-Other	790 Other Labor Litigation			
950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	230 Rent Lease &	368 Asbestos Personal Injury	448 Education	791 Employee Ret, Inc.			
	Ejectment	Product Liability		Security Act	<u> </u>		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number:	AN 1	7-704	7			
. San Grander, GOL GIVE I	ense Halling!			1	-		

CV-71 (09/13)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 1 of 3

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will most likely be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Question A: Was this case removed from STATE GASEWAS REP			NDINGIN	THECO	JNTY GF		FIALIDIVISION IN C	ACDIS B	
Yes 🗷 No	Los Angeles						Western		
If "no," go to Question 8. If "yes," check the box to the right that applies, enter the		Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San I	Luis Obisp	00		Western			
corresponding division in response to Question D, below, and skip to Section IX.		Orange					Southern		
Question U, below, and skip to section ix.		Rīverside or San Bernardino				Eastern			
Question B: Is the United States, or one of	(arije			Gilibayanu			1950 980 810 810 810 828 828		
its agencies or employees, a party to this action?		Time United States, Ordan	e on its ag		nempoyees, sa paroy i		NT	AL	
		APLANTEE			A DEFENDANT		DIV(S) CAC	DN N	
Yes   X   No		hen checkithebox below for the co. which the majority of DETENDANTS	nty in reside	Ther	check the box below fort ich themalority of PLAINT	e county in FFS reside			
If "no, " go to Question C. If "yes," check the		Los Angeles		11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11	: Angeles		West	ern	
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to		Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo			ntura, Santa Barbara, or S Ispo	San Luis	West	ern	
Question D, below, and skip to Section IX.		Orange	-		ange		South	hern	
		Riverside or San Bernardino	Riverside or San Bemardino			)	Eastern		
		☐ Other		☐ Other		Western		ern	
S ASCONESSOR POPULATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	9732					ANTI NE HOSE BROOK DE ANT	MARESE GRANT TIMBE		
Ouestion C. Location of 100 / Art plaintiffs defendants and claims?	gele nty	s - Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obspossounties	Orange	oualy 10	Riverside or San Bernardin de ounties	Outs Distri	de tre Central ct of California	Ober	
Indicate the location in which a majority of plaintiffs reside:	]			]			X		
Indicate the location in which a majority of defendants reside:				]					
Indicate the location in which a majority of claims arose:				]					
C.1. is either of the following true? if so, cl	ıeck	the one that applies:	C.2. Is	either o	f the following true? If	so, check the	one that applies	2	
2 or more answers in Column C				2 or more answers in Column D					
only 1 answer in Column C and no a	ansv	vers in Column D	only 1 answer In Column D and no answers in Column C						
Your case will initially be a	assig	ned to the	Your case will initially be assigned to the						
SOUTHERN DIVISION.  Enter "Southern" in response to Question D, below.			EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question D, below.						
If none applies, answer question C2 to the right.			If none applies, go to the box below.						
		Your case will in			( to the				
		WEST Enter "Western" in re	ERN DIVIS Esponse to		on D below.				
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Question D initial Division?					P	VISION IN CAC			
Enter the initial division determined by Question A, B, or C above:				mer###[#  j	Contract of the Contract of th	viillagaviicuji;viili √estern			
					***		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

CV-71 (09/13) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 3

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

IX(a). IDENTICAL CAS	SES: Has this act	tion been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed?	⊠ NO	YES			
If yes, list case numb	per(s):						
IX(b). RELATED CASE	S: Have any case	es been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case?	⊠ NO	☐ YES			
If yes, list case numb	oer(s):		·				
Civil cases are deemed i	related if a previo	usly filed case and the present case:					
(Check all boxes that app	(Check all boxes that apply)  A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or						
	B. Call fo	r determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fac	t; or				
	C. For otl	her reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; o	r				
	D. Involv	re the same patent, trademark or copyright <u>, and</u> one of the factors identified above in a	, b or c also is pre	sent.			
X. SIGNATURE OF AT (OR SELF-REPRESENT		Brent A. Kramer DALG DATE:	October 22,	2013			
other papers as required by	law. This form, ap-	Civil Cover Sheet and the Information contained herein neither replace nor supplemen proved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required rpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed Instru	pursuant to Local	Rule 3-1 is not filed			
Key to Statistical codes relat	ing to Social Secur	ity Cases:					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action					
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as provider (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))	al Security Act, as a s of services unde	amended. Also, or the program.			
862.	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Her $923$ )	alth and Safety Ac	t of 1969. (30 U.S.C.			
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability Insurance benefits under Title 2 of the all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	ne Social Security .	Act, as amended; plus			
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	Title 2 of the Soci	al Security Act, as			
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed ur amended.	nder Title 16 of the	e Social Security Act, as			
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social S (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	ecurity Act, as am	ended.			

CV-71 (09/13) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 3 of 3

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES JUDGES

This case has been	assigned to Di	strict Judge	Ronald	I S.W. I	Lew	and the assigned
Magistrate Judge is	Suzanne I	H. Segal	·			
The case	number on all (	documents file	d with the Court	shoul	d read as follo	ws:
		2:13CV784	7 RSWL SSx_			
Pursuant to Gener California, the Magistrate						District of
All discovery relat	ed motions sho	uld be noticed	on the calendar	of the	Magistrate Jud	lge.
			Clerk, U	. S. Di:	strict Court	
October 23, 20	13		By J.Pra	ad <b>o</b>		
Date	<del></del>			uty Cle	erk	
		NOTICE T	O COUNSEL			
A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).						
Subsequent documents	must be filed at	the following	location:			
Western Division 312 N. Spring Stree Los Angeles, CA 90		Southern Divis 411 West Four Santa Ana, CA	th St., Ste 1053		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth S Riverside, CA	Street, Room 134
Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.						

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

### United States District Court

for the

Central District of California

Maryland Casualty Company, a corporation	) )
	CV13-7847, PSWLIISx)
Plaintiff(s)	
V.	Civil Action No.
Reese Witherspoon, an individual; Marketing Advantages International, Inc. d/b/a Emitations.com, and DOES 1-10 _ inclusive	) )
Defendant(s)	<u>}</u>

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Dean B. Herman (76752) Hee Young Lee (207295) Brent A. Kramer (256243)

Kaufman Dolowich & Voluck LLP 11755 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90025

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

	OCT 2 3 2013	CLERK OF COURT
Date:		Signature of Charles Signature